

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA  
DEPARTMENT OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL  
SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

SOURCE NAME DOMINION TERMINAL REGISTRATION NO. 60797  
LOCATION TERMINAL BLVD INSPECTION DATE 12/29/95  
COUNTY NO. 700 PLANT ID 00074 SOURCE TYPE \_\_\_\_\_  
SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) A1 A2 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC  
SOURCE CONTACT FRANK FAHON WEATHER CONDITIONS FAIR/COLD

TYPE OF INSPECTION

☐ SCHEDULED INSPECTION ☐ PERMIT COMPLETION  
☐ FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION ☐ COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION  
☒ OTHER (EXPLAIN) PROGRAM ANALYSIS ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO  
VISIT

INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 1 2 3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE ☐

VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

OPERATING RATE Normal

INSPECTOR [Signature]

STAFF CODE 739

CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

0 - UNKNOWN	3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION	6 - IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHEDULE
1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE	4 - IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION	7 - IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT TO SCHEDULE
2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST	5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE	8 - NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS
		9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO YES (If yes explain in comments section.)

Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO YES (If yes, explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO YES If yes, describe: \_\_\_\_\_

Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO YES

Is inspection needed due to on-going or recently completed enforcement? YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO YES

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED)

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES ☒ NO ☐ If yes, describe:

Are there compliance problems involving more than one control or process system? YES ☒ NO ☐

Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES ☒ NO ☐

If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved? YES ☒ NO ☐

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES ☐ NO ☒ *REN. IASP.*

Rate control equipment/process vulnerability to upset: Very High ☐ High ☒ Average ☐ Low ☐

Rate O & M practices at the site: Very High ☐ High ☒ Average ☐ Low ☐

Rank the source from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection next year based on your overall evaluation of the source: 3

III. INSPECTION COMMENTS:

*Link was to move vinpuler  
Program made, ready for winter  
Plant shut down - 10/1/00 OK*

SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:

INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE

DATE: 12/12/95

DATE: 12/15/95

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: YES ☐ NO ☒ (See Page(s) )

\*\*\*OCR\*\*\*

The following pages contain the Optical Character Recognition text of the preceding scanned images.



COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA  
DEPARTMENT OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL  
SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

SOURCE NAME REGISTRATION NO. VALIV V1;@

LOCATION INSPECTION DATE  
it III DZI!Z 151

COUNTY NO. PLANT ID SOURCE TYPE

SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) A1 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC

7

SOURCE CONTACT WEATHER CONDITIONS

TYPE OF INSPECTION

SCHEDULED INSPECTION PERMIT COMPLETION

FOLLOW-UP INSPECT I COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION

U'OTHER (EXPLAIN) Z61 ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES

2 3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE  
INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 ri

VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE YES NO INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

OPERATING RATE

INSPECTOR STAFF CODE  
21

CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

0 - UNKNOWN 3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION 6 - IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHEDULE

1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE 4 - IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION 7 - IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT

2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST 5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE TO SCHEDULE

8 - NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS

.9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES NO (If yes explain in comments section.)

Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES NO (If yes, explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES NO If yes, describe:.

Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years?  
YES NO

Is inspection needed to on-going or recently completed enforcement?  
YES NO

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED) PAGE 2

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES NO If yes, describe:

Are there compliance problems involving more than one control or Process system?

YES NO

Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES NO

If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved?

YES NO

111@411\_

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES NO

Rate control equipment/process vulnerability to upset:

Very High High Average Low

Rate O & M practices at the site:

Very High High 1-1 Average Low

Rank the source from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection

next year based on your overall evaluation of the source:

III. INSPECTION COMMENTS: Ar-2

@7

ADDITIONAL COM (See Page(s) NO

INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE DATE: L2-1Z\_2.- /-L---

SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:

17

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE: eea@, DATE: \_2,e@11zf ILSZ-