COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM

I. GENERAL INFORMATION	
SOURCE NAME <u>Norfolk & Wester</u>	n REGISTRATION NO. 6 0 1 8 0
LOCATION <u>Lamberts Point ya</u>	rd Norfolk, VA INSPECTION DATE 0 7 0 1 9 4
	0 0 4 8 SOURCE TYPE Coal transport
SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APP	LICABLE CLASSES) A1 A2 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC
SOURCE CONTACT Wayne Henley	WEATHER CONDITIONS <u>hot; humid; clear</u>
TYPE OF INSPECTION	
XX- SCHEDULED INSPECTION	PERMIT COMPLETION
FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION	COMPLAINT 8INVESTIGATION
OTHER (EXPLAIN)	ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO
INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (C VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) Y OPERATING RATE <u>Full</u>	
INSPECTOR <u>W. Williams</u>	STAFF CODE L9_L3_L2_
0 - UNKNOWN 1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE 2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST	3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION 4 - IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION 5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE 7 - IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT TO SCHEDULE 8 - NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS 9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED
II. INSPECTION SUMMARY	
•	l compliance problems identified during this NO \underline{x} (If yes explain in comments section.)
Complaints: Are complies explain in the comment	ance problems indicated? YES NO \underline{x} (If yes, section.)
Does source experience describe:	excessive malfunctions? YES NO _x_ If yes,
YES NO x	ion been initiated during the past two years? e to on-going or recently completed enforcement?

	Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES NO _x_ If yes, describe:
	Are there compliance prob pen sessevolving more than one control or system? YES NO _x_
	Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES NO n/a
	If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved? YES NO n/a
	Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES NO _x
	Rate control equipment/process vulnerability to upset: Very High High Average _x Low
	Rate O & M practices at the site: Very High High <u>x</u> Average Low
	Rank the source from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection next year based on your overall evaluation of the source: $_3$
	INSPECTION COMMENTS:
	Follow-up inspection to take a Method 9 visible emissions evaluation record for the "S" surge silo baghouse. The unit was not operating during the May 27, 1994 inspection.
	No construction noted at the facility.
	Compliance with permit limits for opacity at the baghouse is indicated.
	Compliance with visible emissions at this point source and other emission points at the facility indicates regulatory compliance for emissions. However, an emission study for coal dust emissions is being done at this time. This may or may not prove a compliance problem.
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS? YES (See Page(s)) NO
EC	TOR'S SIGNATURE 111. 111/). DATE: 7 /5 /94
ERV	ISOR'S COMMENTS:

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE

DATE: 1/2/2/

OCR

The following pages contain the Optical Character Recognition text of the preceding scanned images.

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONNEAFTAL QUALITY
SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

SOURCE NAME Norfolk & Western REGISTRATION NO. @'01118V

LOCATION Lamberts Point yard Norfolk, VA INSPECTION DATE W71-01119141

COUNTY NO. L7111-0 PLANT ID W-0-1014 81 SOURCE TYPE Coal transport

SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) (A2 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC

SOURCE CONTACT Wayne Henley WEATHER CONDITIONS hot; humid; clear

TYPE OF INSPECTION

SCHEDULED INSPECTION Er" 'PERMIT COMPLETION

- F] FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION F] COMPLAINT 8INVESTIGATION
- F] OTHER (EXPLAIN) ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 1-_@ @2@@ 3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE 13-A

VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE)@ @YE@>O INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ON_E__j(_:j__E _'Z" NO

OPERATING RATE Full

INSPECTOR W. Williams STAFF CODE 19-13:12_@]

CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

- ${\tt 0}$ UNKNOWN ${\tt 3}$ IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION 6 IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHE DULE
- 1 IN VIOLATION NO SCHEDULE 4 IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION 7 IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT
- 2 IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST 5 IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE TO SCHEDUL E
- 8 NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS
- 9 IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES NO x (If yes explain in comments section.)

Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES NO $\,$ x (If yes, explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES NO $\mathbf x$ If yes, describe:

Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years? YES NO $\mathbf x$

Is inspection needed due to on-going or recently completed enforcement? YES NO \boldsymbol{x}

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED) PAGE 2

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES NO x If yes, describe:

Are there compliance proboemse!Bvolving more than one control or system? YES NO \boldsymbol{x}

Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES NO _ n/a

If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved? YES NO $_$ n/a

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES NO x

Rate control equipment/process vulnerability to upset: Very High High Average x Low

Rate 0 & M practices at the site: Very High High x Average Low

Rank the source from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection

next year based on your overall evaluation of the source: 3

III. INSPECTION COMMENTS:

Follow-up inspection to take a Method 9 visible emissions evaluation record for the "S" surge silo baghouse. The unit was not operating during the May 27, 1994 inspection.

No construction noted at the facility.

Compliance with permit limits for opacity at the baghouse is indicated.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NOTE}}\xspace$: Compliance with visible emissions at this point source and other emission

points at the facility indicates regulatory compliance for emissions. However, an emission study for coal dust emissions is being done at this time. This may or may not prove a compliance problem.

ADDITIONAL COMME s (See Page(s) NO INSPECTOR'S SI@@@ DATE: 7 S_ SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE DATE: