Date: <u>5/21/93</u>
Revised Form 3/26/93

# TARGETING DATA LONG FORM

| State:  | <u>VA</u> | TID | #: | 71000048 |
|---------|-----------|-----|----|----------|
| Data Pi | roblem    | :   |    |          |

|                                       |                                       | I. IDENTIFICATION                                   |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Facility (plant) name: 1              | ORFOLK & WESTERN RR                   | State ID: <u>60180</u>                              |  |  |
| Location: LAMBERTS POINT              |                                       | AFS ID: 035171000048                                |  |  |
| Address: 110 FRANKLIN RO              | DAD S.E.                              | Operating Permit #:                                 |  |  |
| City: ROANOKE                         | Zip                                   | - Issued:/ Expires:/ EPA ID: 035171000048           |  |  |
| County: NORFOLK                       | Code:7                                |   |  |  |
| Description: COAL STORAG              | SE/TRANSFER                           |   | SIC Code:                                    |  |
| Facility Contact: <u>D. D.</u>        | GRABB                                 | ITS Source Code: MINING, COAL(INC. PREPARATIO       |  |  |
| Applicable regulations:               | SIP_X NSPS NE                         | EPA Class (A1,A2,B,N,H): <u>A</u>                   |  |  |
| If OTHER, describe:                   |                                       | State Class #1:                                     |  |  |
| Low Priority/Minimum Fre              | equency code:                         |   | State Class #2:                              |  |
| TARGETED CONTROL/PROCESS              | SYSTEM: Identify 1s                   | t priority system(s):                               |  |  |
| Identify other priorit                | y system(s):                          | <u> </u>  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·        |  |
|                                       |                                       | II. EMISSIONS                                       | Friedin Date Vers                            |  |
| TARGETER ROLLUTANT.+                  |                                       |   | Emissions Data Year:                         |  |
| TARGETED POLLUTANT: *                 | Dallutant                             | Is a Control System Used                            | Are Fugitive Emissions                       |  |
| 1at Daiasitus                         | Pollutant                             | to Reduce Emissions?                                | a Priority Concern?                          |  |
| 1st Priority:                         | PM                                    | <u>Yes</u>  | <u>Yes</u>                                   |  |
| 2nd Priority:                         |                                       |   |  |  |
|                                       | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |   | or targeting concern. Pollutant symbols are: |  |
|                                       | CO, VOC, TRS, PB, HAZ                 | , and iux.  |  |  |
| EMISSIONS:*                           | 004 0                                 | NOV Camb  |  |  |
|                                       | SOX Cont. 1.00                        |   |  |  |
| Uncont. 400.00                        | Uncont. 1.00                          | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·               |  |  |
| VOC Cont<br>Uncont.                   | TRS Cont                              | PB Cont<br>Uncont.                                  |  |  |
| TOX/HAZ: rate severity f              | <del></del>                           | ·   | nundo .                                      |  |
|                                       |                                       | 5,250,500,2000,10000+.                              | lled emissions in tons/year according to the |  |
| SELE-MONITORING REPORTS:              | Can the review of t                   | III. COMPLIANCE hese reports be used in place of an | n inspection?                                |  |
|                                       |                                       |   |  |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | 6/ 24/ 96                                    |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                                       |   | inspection?Yes                               |  |
| During any other inspe                | ction conducted in t                  | he last two vears?                                  | <u> </u>                                     |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | <u> 7</u>                                    |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | <u> </u>                                     |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | blems recently?                              |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | <u>A</u>                                     |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | e facility?                                  |  |
|                                       | - · · <del></del>                     | <del>-</del>  |  |  |
| MINOR PROBLEMS: Are all               | compliance problems                   | indicated above minor?                              | <u> </u>                                     |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | <u> </u>                                     |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | •  |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | High_XAverageLow                             |  |
|                                       |                                       |   | PoorAverage_XGood                            |  |
|                                       |                                       |   |  |  |
|                                       |                                       |   |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                                       |   |  |  |
|                                       |                                       |   |  |  |
|                                       |                                       |   |  |  |

| IV. AIR QUALITY   |
|---|
| LOCATED IN A NONATTAINMENT AREA?PM SO2 Ozone_X CO NOX_X PB  |
| <pre>IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY in principal impact area:</pre>  |
| POPULATION affected by facility in impact area:   |
| ARE THERE AIR QUALITY PROBLEMS INVOLVING MORE THAN ONE POLLUTANT?   |
| COMMENTS:   |
|   |
|   |
| V. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES  |
| <pre>INSPECTION NEEDED: 1. Never Inspected 2. Inspection Overdue 3. New Permit</pre> 4. Permit Renewal 5. Other Explain:                        |
| INSPECTION NOT NEEDED: 1. Temporarily Shut Down/Moved 2. Permanently Shut Down/Moved  |
| 3. Other Explain:   |
| COMMENTS:   |
|   |
| VI. RESOURCES   |
| TIME FACTOR: Estimate all office and on-site time (hrs) required for one level 2 or higher inspection of the entire                             |
| facility. If more than one inspection level is planned during the year, enter the average time per inspection6.                                 |
| TRAVEL TIME: Estimate the travel time required per inspection (round trip). If more than one inspection will be conducted                       |
| on the same trip, please apportion the time equally among all facilities to be inspected and indicate only                                      |
| this facility's share   |
| FREQUENCY FACTOR: Number of level 2 or higher inspections needed (number/years)   |
|   |
|   |
| TITT TRICDEOMOD DARVETRIO   |
| VII. INSPECTOR RANKING  INSPECTOR RANKING: Rank facility from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection next year, based on |
| your overall evaluation of the facility   |
| <u>UNIQUE FACTORS:</u> Are there unique factor not considered above which affect your ranking?  |
| Explain:  |
| COMMENTS:   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

\*\*\*OCR\*\*\*

The following pages contain the Optical Character Recognition text of the preceding scanned images.

Date: 5/ 21/ 93 TARGETING DATA State: VA TID #: 71000048 Revised Form 3/26/93 LONG FORM Data ProbLem:

# I. IDENTIFICATIOIN Facility (ptant) name: NORFOLK & WESTERN RR State ID: 60180 Location: LAMBERTS POINT AFS ID: 035171000048 Address: 110 FRANKLIN ROAD S.E. Operating Permit #: City: ROANOKE Zip Code: 24042- MobiLe? - Issued: / / - Expires: County: NORFOLK Code: 710 Office: R6 inspector: WAW EPA ID: 035171000048 Description: COAL STORAGE/TRANSFER SIC Code: FaciLity Contact: D. D. GRABS TeLephone: 804-446-5402 ITS Source Code: MINING, COAL(INC. PREPARATIO AppLicabie reguiations: SIP X NSPS NESHAP PSD TOXIC OTHER EPA Class (A1,A2,B, N,H): AIf OTHER, describe: State Class #1: Low Priority/Minimum Frequency code: State Class #2: TARGETED CONTROL/PROCESS SYSTEM: Identify 1st priority system(s): identify other priority systefn(s): II. EMISSIONS Emissions Data Year: TARGETED POLLUTANT: \* Is a Control System Used Are Fugitive Emissions PolLutant to Reduce Emissions? a Priority Concern? lst Priority: PM Yes Yes 2nd Priority: \* identify more than one poLLutant onLy if other poLlutants are a major target ing concern. Pottutant symbots are: PM, SOX, NOX, CO, VOC, TRS, PB, HAZ, and TOX. EMISSIONS: \* PM Cont. 80.00 SOX Cont. 1.00 NOX Cont. CO Cont Uncont. 400.00 Uncont. 1.00 Uncont. Uncont. VOC Cont. TRS Cont. PB Cont. Uncont. Uncont. Uncont. TOX/HAZ: rate severity from 1 (lowest) to 10 (highest): List major compounds: \* Provide emissions data in tons/year. if unknown, estimate uncontrolLed emiss ions in tons/year according to the following scaLe: 1,5,15,30,50,80,125,250,500,2000,10000+. **COMMENTS:** COMPLIANCE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS: Can the review of these reports be used in place of a n inspection? is an inspection needed based on setf-monitoring reports? Al INSPECTIONS: Date of Last Level 2 (or higher Levet) inspection (Mo/Day/Yr) 24/ 96 Were actual or potentiaL emissions or O&M probLems identified during the last inspection? Yes During any other inspection conducted in the Last two years? )e COMPLAINTS: Do complaints indicate a potential comptiance probLem? MALFUNCTIONS: Are there excessive malfunctions (in frequency or magnitude)? ENFORCEMENT: Has any enforcement action been initiated for emissions or O&M pr obtems recentty? Is inspection needed due to ongoing or recentty-compteted enforcement? ONGOING COMPLIANCE PROBLEM: Is there an ongoing emissions or O&M problem at th e faciLity? ARE MORE THAN ONE POINT SOURCE EXPERIENCING PROBLEMS? MINOR PROBLEMS: Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? is a fotLow-up inspection justified because of minor problems? PROBLEMS RESOLVED: Are all probtems indicated above now resolved? RATE VULNERABILITY OF FACILITY TO UPSET: Very High.. High X Average Low

RATE O&M PRACTICES at the site: Very Poor Poor Average X Good

**COMMENTS:** 

Revised Form 2/12/93 Page 2 TID #: 71000048

### IV. AIR QUALITY

LOCATED IN A NONATTAINMENT AREA? PM S02 Ozone X co NOx X PB

IMPACT ON AIR QUALITY in principal impact area: Very High.. High X Moderate Mi

POPULATION affected by facitity in impact area: High Density\_X\_ Low Density..

ARE THERE AIR QUALITY PROBLEMS INVOLVING MORE THAN ONE POLLUTANT? COMMENTS:

### V. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

INSPECTION NEEDED: 1. Never Inspected 2. Inspection Overdue 3. New Permit

4. Permit Renewat 5. Other Exptain:

INSPECTION NOT NEEDED: 1. Temporarity Shut Down/Moved 2. Permanentty Shut Down /Moved

3. other Exptain:

COMMENTS:

### VI. RESOURCES

TIME FACTOR: Estimate att office and on-site time (hrs) required for one LeveL 2 or higher inspection of the entire

facitity. If more than one inspection teveL is ptanned during the year, enter the average time per inspection 6.00

TRAVEL TIME: Estimate the travel time required per inspection (round trip). I f more than one inspection witt be conducted

on the same trip, ptease apportion the time equality among att facitities to be inspected and indicate onLy

this facitity's share 2.00

FREQUENCY FACTOR: Number of Levet 2 or higher inspections needed (number/years ) V 1

**COMMENTS:** 

## VII. INSPECTOR PJKNKING

INSPECTOR RANKING: Rank facitity from 1 (towest priority) to 4 (highest priori ty) for an inspection next year, based on your overatt evatuation of the facitity 4

UNIQUE FACTORS: Are there unique factor not considered above which affect your ranking?

Exptain:

COMMENTS: