COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM

I. GENERAL INFORMATION					
SOURCE NAME NORFORK & SOUTHERN RAILROAD REGISTRATION NO. GOISO					
LOCATION LAMBERT'S POINT, NORFOLK INSPECTION DATE [1013]					
COUNTY NO. 7/6 PLANT ID OCOH8 SOURCE TYPE COAL TERMINAL					
SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) (A1) A2 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC					
SOURCE CONTACT MR-GRAY WEATHER CONDITIONS SUNNY C					
TYPE OF INSPECTION					
SCHEDULED INSPECTION PERMIT COMPLETION					
FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION					
\boxtimes other (explain) 370919 announced inspection (circle one) yes \bigcirc					
INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 ① 2 3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE ② VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO OPERATING RATE NORTH CAR DUMPER (50%)					
INSPECTOR BARRY W. HALCROW STAFF CODE \$1512					
CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS					
0 - UNKNOWN 1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE 2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST 3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION 4 - IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION 5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE 7 - IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT TO SCHEDULE 8 - NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS 9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED					
II. INSPECTION SUMMARY					
Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES $_$ NO $_$ (If yes explain in comments section.)					
Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES NO $\overset{\times}{}$ (If yes, explain in the comment section.)					
Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES NO If yes, describe:					
Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years? YES NOX Is inspection needed due to on-going or recently completed enforcement? YES NOX					

II.	INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED)
	Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES NO If yes, describe:
	Are there compliance problems involving more than one control or process system? YES NOX
	Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES NO
	If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved? YES NO
	Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES NO $ ilde{ imes}$
	Rate control equipment/process vulnerability to upset: Very High High Average X Low
	Rate 0 & M practices at the site: χ Low Very High High AverageX Low
	Rank the source from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection next year based on your overall evaluation of the source: 4
III.	INSPECTION COMMENTS: NORTH SIDE COAL CAR DUMPER OPERATING.
AL	L WATER CONTROL SYSTEMS WERE FUNCTIONING, About
10	whys being welper was to ship being world. Onl
	Marriet of fuguetiese was dust as wal falls into
	aryo hile theet sweeper also being used on the pres
ti-	Alleit woldest from the pier work area. Gal
1	los being unstructed for use of Baghouses were in place as
jee-	mitted saw wo fugitive list from wal ears being
Ja to	iged in the yard drea. * no problems noted.
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS? YES (See Page(s)) NO X
INSP	ECTOR'S SIGNATURE Bary W. Halina DATE: 10/13/93
SUPE	RVISOR'S COMMENTS:
SUPER	RVISOR'S SIGNATURE DATE: 1012312

OCR

The following pages contain the Optical Character Recognition text of the preceding scanned images.

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
DEPARTMETET OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL
SOURCE INSPECTION RE-PORT FORM

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

SOURCE NAME A) CQ PO 1_1@ 1@ 5c@4T[if@,(A; REGISTRATION NO.

LOCATION LAPIEErl'T's 10oull- @ /U INSPECTION DATE

COUNTY No. PLANT ID I ol I SOURCE TYPE C CAL Jr-krllrJ 4-L

SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) Aa A2 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC

SOURCE CONTACT M $C_r - A + 1 + 5 + u + C$ WEATHER CONDITIONS

TYPE OF INSPECTION

SCHEDULED INSPECTION PERMIT COMPL'ETION

FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION

OTHER (EXPLAIN) ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES CN6)

INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 C1@ 2 3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE E1

VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) YES (7@0) INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

0 1 14 CAK PLimr z PERATING RATE

)71

INSPECTOR f Ax @z y VI) 14AL- C Ke LIL, / STAFF CODE

CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

- 0 UNKNOWN 3 IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION 6 IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHED ULE
- 1 IN VIOLATION NO SCHEDULE 4 IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION 7 IN VIOLATI ON, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT
- 2 IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST 5 IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE TO SCHEDULE
- 8 NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS
- 9 IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES NO (If yes explain in comments section.)

Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES NO (If yes, 2S

explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES NO If yes, describe:

Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years? YES NO @(

Is inspection ne due to on-going or recently completed enforcement? YES NO

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED) PAGE 2

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES NO If yes, describe:

Are there compliance, problems involving more than one control or process syste m?
YES NO

Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES NO

If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved? YES NO

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES NO

Rate control equipment/process vulnerabil't to upset: Very High High Average T Low

Rate 0 & M practices at the site: High

Very High Average Low

Rank the source from I (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection

next year based on your overall evaluation of the source:

III. INSPECTION COMMENTS: r 14- 15' i 12 C OAL c A iq V a Ai i'Ek 0 1"@E X' A T F q , 2

W A I)E k C_ O T @,FMY jVtf KE

Li 4-3

ZOL

A@ /Wri, 14/@4t Cl V-2-O4 --VV,-U

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS? YES (See Page(s) NO X INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE vu DATE: i SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE DATE: