

**COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL
SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM**

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

SOURCE NAME David Lowth REGISTRATION NO. 100777

LOCATION INTERIOR 44-1615 HVD INSPECTION DATE 11/22/98

COUNTY NO. 700 PLANT ID 22074 SOURCE TYPE Coal Creek Mu 44

SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) A1 A2 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC

SOURCE CONTACT Tom Shrock WEATHER CONDITIONS Wet Shred

TYPE OF INSPECTION

SCHEDULED INSPECTION PERMIT COMPLETION
 FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION
 OTHER (EXPLAIN) OVERVIEW ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 1 2 3 4

VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ONE)

OPERATING RATE 3,500 Ton in 8 hours

INSPECTOR J. E. STEWART STAFF CODE 7339

CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

- 0 - UNKNOWN
- 1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE
- 2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST

- 3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION**
- 4 - IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION**
- 5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE**

- 6 - IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHEDULE**
- 7 - IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT TO SCHEDULE**
- 8 - NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS**
- 9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED**

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES NO (If yes explain in comments section.)

Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES NO (If yes, explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES NO If yes, describe:

Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years?

Is inspection needed due to on-going or recently completed enforcement?

11/29/95

DATE:

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE

11/29/95

DATE:

SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:

NO

(See Page(s))

YES

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?

INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE

III. INSPECTION COMMENTS:
Source was continuing to fluctuate
of aluminum as the control losses does
to 38000 lbs. the pollution was
met,

Rank the source from 1 (Lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection next year based on your overall evaluation of the source: 3

Rate O & M practices at the site: Very High — High — Average — Low —

Rate control equipment/process vulnerability to upset: Very High — High — Average — Low —

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES — NO — Requiuon insp

If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved? YES — NO — Yes

Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES — NO — No

Are there compliance problems involving more than one control or process system? YES — NO — No

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES — NO — If yes, describe:

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED)

PAGE 2

OCR

The following pages contain the Optical Character Recognition text of the preceding scanned images.

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL
SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

VIP 1061
SOURCE NAME REGISTRATION NO.

LOCATION INSPECTION DATE

COUNTY NO. PLANT ID SOURCE TYPE

SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) A1 @@) B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC

(<
SOURCE CONTACT WEATHER CONDITIONS @@Z-D

TYPE OF INSPECTION

11 SCHEDULED INSPECTION PERMIT COMPLETION

F-I FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION F-I COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION

2-11 "O-THER (EXPLAIN) ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES

INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 el@ 2 3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE

VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

OPERATING RATE

INSPECTOR cs__@ STAFF CODE 17 1:3 1 @Z

CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

0 - UNKNOWN 3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION 6 - IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHEDULE
1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE 4 - IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION 7 - IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT
2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST 5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE TO SCHEDULE
8 - NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS
9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES NO (If yes explain in comments section.)

Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES NO (If yes, explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES NO If yes, describe:

Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years? YES NO

Is inspection needed due to on-going or recent completed enforcement? Y

YES NO

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED) PAGE 2

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES NO yes, describe:

Are there compliance problems involving more than one control or process system?

YES NO

Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES No

If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved?

YES NO 1114Y

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES NO ax_ /,0usp

Rate control equipment/process vulnerability, to upset:

Very High High Average Low

Rate O & M practices at the site:

Very High High L--'-- Average Low

Rank the source from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection

next year based on your overall evaluation of the source:

III. INSPECTION COMMENTS:

ADDITIONAL COMM E Page(s) NO
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE DATE: 2-f
SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:

DATE:

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE