

## I. GENERAL INFORMATION

SOURCE NAME PIER 1X REGISTRATION NO. 60979  
 LOCATION TERMINAL BlVD NPN INSPECTION DATE 01/196  
 COUNTY NO. 700 PLANT ID 00071 SOURCE TYPE COAL TERMINAL  
 SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) A1 A2 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC  
 SOURCE CONTACT MR WohFina or You WEATHER CONDITIONS COLD/DRY

## TYPE OF INSPECTION

 SCHEDULED INSPECTION PERMIT COMPLETION FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION OTHER (EXPLAIN) \_\_\_\_\_ ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES NOINSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 1 2 3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE 3VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

OPERATING RATE STATICINSPECTOR J.E. STEWARTSTAFF CODE 739

## CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

0 - UNKNOWN

3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION

6 - IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHEDULE

1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE

4 - IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION

7 - IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT

2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST

5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE

TO SCHEDULE

8 - NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS

9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED

## II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES NO (If yes explain in comments section.)

Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES NO (If yes, explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES NO If yes, describe: \_\_\_\_\_

Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years? YES NO

Is inspection needed due to on-going or recently completed enforcement? YES NO

## II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED)

PAGE 2

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES  NO  If yes, describe:

---

Are there compliance problems involving more than one control or process system?  
 YES  NO  *N/A*

Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES  NO  *N/A*

If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved?  
 YES  NO  *N/A*

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES  NO

Rate control equipment/process vulnerability to upset:  
 Very High  High  Average  Low

Rate O & M practices at the site:  
 Very High  High  Average  Low

Rank the source from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection next year based on your overall evaluation of the source: *4*

## III. INSPECTION COMMENTS:

*No activity due to cold. Coal storage low (380,000 tons) due to big load out during December. Installation of new silo to rail load of cement almost complete. Material condition of previously constructed transfer and baghouses good to excellent - no problems noted. House keeping good. No fugitives noted. Coal storage area 30-40% covered with ice/snow. House keeping good. Rail cars for the most part sealed with cap of snow. Others were frozen, ~~70~~ ~~Attacker~~ PASS/PASS-Q SS with comments will*

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS? YES  (See Page(s) 1) NO

INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE *J. E. Hoar*

DATE: 1/19/96

SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE

DATE: 1/1

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA  
DEPARTMENT OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL  
SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM

### III. INSPECTION COMMENTS (CONTINUED)

PAGE 3

SOURCE NAME: Peter W

REGISTRATION NO 10979

LOCATION: ~~TERMINAL~~

INSPECTION DATE **01/10/2024**

COMMENTS (CONTINUED): *See attached*

INSPECTION DATE **01/10/2024**

COMMENTS (CONTINUED): Be attached when corrected to the represented values. During this inspection Dosece was told to submit spreadsheets for a period of 01/05/96 to 01/11/96. During review it was discovered that a program error not affecting the control was giving erroneous results to the final  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  daily emission record. This is in the process of being corrected. When corrected the correct spreadsheets will be attached to this inspection report.

# INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE

# **SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE**

DATE: 1/19/96

**DATE:** / 1/19 196

\*\*\*OCR\*\*\*

The following pages contain the Optical Character Recognition text of the preceding scanned images.

## .1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SOURCE NAME REGISTRATION NO.

LOCATION INSPECTION DATE 11Q1 1 1 L1T1Q1(A

COUNTY NO. PLANT ID SOURCE TYPE 01 0@

SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) A1 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC

10 CONDITION

SOURCE CONTACT WEATHER C S -V L/  
Z

TYPE OF INSPECTION

C ULED INSPECTION PERMIT COMPLETION

FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION F-I COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION

EL OTIIER (EXPLAIN) ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES

INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 1 20.3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE

VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) YES INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

OPERATING RATE

INSPECTOR 15W# STAFF CODE

CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

0 - UNKNOWN 3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION 6 - IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHE  
DULE

1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE 4 - IN COMPUANCE BY CERTIFICATION 7 - IN VIOLAT  
ION, UNKNOWN VATH RESPECT

2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST 5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE TO SCHEDUL  
E

8 - NO APPUCABLE STATE REGULATIONS

9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSF-D

## II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compli@ problems identified during this  
inspection? YES NO (If yes explain in comments section.)

4\_@

Complairits: Are compliance problems indicated? YES NO (If yes,  
explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES NO f y e s ,  
descr-ibe:.

Has any enforcemen!,@fAion been initiated duririg the past t wo years?  
YES NO

Is inspection needo\_-@ue to o'n-going or recently completed enforcement?  
YES NO

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED) PAGE 2

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES NO If yes, describe:

Are there compliance problems involving more than one control or Process system?

YES NO If yes,

Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES NO

If yes, Are all compliance problems identified above now resolved?  
YES NO

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES NO

Rate control equipment/process vulnerability to upset:  
Very High High Average Low

Rate O & M practices at the site:  
Very High High Average Low

Rank the source from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection next year based on your overall evaluation of the source

III. INSPECTION COMMENTS:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: YES NO  
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE DATE:  
SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE DATE:

cc 3  
-C

QC

Q:at

LI

Q.

111. INSPECTION COMMENTS (CONTINUED) PAGE  
SOURCE NAME: REGISTRATION NO 1611&1qETm  
LOCATION- INSPECTION DATE  
COMMENTS ( NTINUED):

Ok / ,O@"

INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE DATE:

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE DATE: