

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL
SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

SOURCE NAME Dominion TERMINAL REGISTRATION NO. 60997
LOCATION TERMINAL BLVD UPN INSPECTION DATE 09/14/95
COUNTY NO. 700 PLANT ID 00074 SOURCE TYPE COAL TERMINAL
SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) A1 A2 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC
SOURCE CONTACT Tom Houck - WEATHER CONDITIONS FAIR/cool

TYPE OF INSPECTION

SCHEDULED INSPECTION PERMIT COMPLETION
 FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION
 OTHER (EXPLAIN) _____ ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO

INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 1 2 3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE 3
VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ONE) YES NO
OPERATING RATE RECOVER 4 @ CAPAC 74
INSPECTOR JE, STEEL KRT STAFF CODE 739

CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

0 - UNKNOWN	3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION	6 - IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHEDULE
1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE	4 - IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION	7 - IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT TO SCHEDULE
2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST	5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE	8 - NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS
		9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES _____ NO ✓ (If yes explain in comments section.)

Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES _____ NO ✓ (If yes, explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES _____ NO ✓ If yes, describe: _____

Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years? YES _____ NO ✓

Is inspection needed due to on-going or recently completed enforcement? YES _____ NO ✓

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES NO

Are there more than one component or process system? YES NO

Are all items indicated above minor? YES NO

If yes, are all compliance problems identified?

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES _____ NO _____

Rate of M practices at time site: Very High High Average Low Very Low

rank the source for an inspection to 4 (lowest priority) to 1 (highest priority) based on the following criteria. The following table summarizes the criteria and the weight assigned to each criterion for the next year based on your overall source: 3

rank the priority for an inspection based on your overall evaluation of the source: 3 next year or 1 the next year.

Rate of M practices at the site: Very High High Average Low Very Low

Very High 1 Average 2 Low 3 Very Low 4

Is a follow-up inspection needed? NO YES

~~1/1~~ ON ~~—~~ SEA

If yes, are all coincide problems identified now, resolved?

than one process item

PAGE 2

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED)

OCR

The following pages contain the Optical Character Recognition text of the preceding scanned images.

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF AIR POLLUTION CONTROL
SOURCE INSPECTION REPORT FORM

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

SOURCE NAME L O"K , '4' t 0 REGISTRATION NO.

LOCATION 04 / A.,/ 4 INSPECTION DATE 01111

COUNTY NO. PLANT ID 1010101 SOURCE TYPE 01@)_/_/Z'

SOURCE CLASS (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE CLASSES) A1 B NSPS PSD NESHAP TOXIC

SOURCE CONTACT C\48. 9-t WEATHER CONDITIONS)dtec)z

TYPE OF INSPECTION

VIIS'C'HEDULED INSPECTION PERMIT COMPLETION

F-I FOLLOW-UP INSPECTION COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION

1-1 OTHER (EXPLAIN) ANNOUNCED INSPECTION (CIRCLE ONE) YES

INSPECTION LEVEL PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) 0 1 (P 3 4 COMPLIANCE CODE

VEE PERFORMED (CIRCLE ONE) a NO INDICATES COMPLIANCE (CIRCLE ONE) Z NO

OPERATING RATE 0?x"0 re-K Y CO C/epiq (/-(7

INSPECTOR STAFF CODE

CODING INFORMATION FOR COMPLIANCE STATUS

0 - UNKNOWN 3 - IN COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTION 6 - IN VIOLATION, NOT MEETING SCHEDULE

1 - IN VIOLATION - NO SCHEDULE 4 - IN COMPLIANCE BY CERTIFICATION 7 - IN VIOLATION, UNKNOWN WITH RESPECT

2 - IN COMPLIANCE BY SOURCE TEST 5 - IN VIOLATION, MEETING SCHEDULE TO SCHEDULE

8 - NO APPLICABLE STATE REGULATIONS

9 - IN COMPLIANCE, CLOSED

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY

Were actual or potential compliance problems identified during this inspection? YES No @@p (If yes explain in comments section.)

Complaints: Are compliance problems indicated? YES NO (If yes, explain in the comment section.)

Does source experience excessive malfunctions? YES NO If yes, describe:

Has any enforcement action been initiated during the past two years? YES NO \$@,,

Is inspection needed
YES NO @@ue to on-going or recently completed enforcement?

II. INSPECTION SUMMARY (CONTINUED) PAGE 2

Is there an on-going compliance problem? YES NO L-@If yes, describe:

Are there compliance problems involving more than one control or process system?

YES NO 11041/14L

Are all compliance problems indicated above minor? YES No

If yes, are all compliance problems identified above now resolved?
YES NO k1w-

Is a follow-up inspection needed? YES NO jl@

Rate control equipment/process vulnerability to upset:

Very High High Average Low

Rate O & M practices at the site:
Very High High Average Low

Rank the source from 1 (lowest priority) to 4 (highest priority) for an inspection next year based on your overall evaluation of the source: 3

6,

III. INSPECTION COMMENTS:

0641

ADDITIONAL C (See Page(s) NO
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE
SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE DATE: